

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,461		10/16/2003	Yoshio Takada	B422-243	8871
26272	7590	01/21/2005		EXAM	INER
COWAN I	LIEBOW	ITZ & LATMAN I	PERKEY, W	PERKEY, WILLIAM B	
JOHN J TO 1133 AVE		AMERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1133 AVE	OF THE A	AMERICAS	2851		
NEW YOR	K, NY I	0017	DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		AR
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/687,461	TAKADA, YOSHIO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William B. Perkey	2851
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replyon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unconditions.	This action is non-final.	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 14-18 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 14-18 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objective or biside of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si	Paper No(s)/N	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 7-11 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the acknowledged Prior Art disclosed in applicants Figs. 6A and 6B in view of Nakagishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,424,068 B2).

Prior Art Fig. 6B discloses a rotor 102; a first bearing 101a; and second bearing 104a. The lever 102 is connected to a not shown light quantity adjusting device. The Fig. 6 B also discloses a Hall element 118 to detect the position of the rotor. Fig. 6B shows the yoke 105 arranged in a position shifted in a thrust direction with respect to the magnet (see the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of applicant's disclosure). A bias in the radial direction is described on page 6 lines 3-6 of applicant's disclosure. The acknowledged prior art discloses the claimed invention, except for the first bearing having a tapered shape. Nakagishi discloses a motor device for controlling a device that requires high stability. Tapered and semi-spherical bearings, some embodiments with biasing means for the bearings, are disclosed by Nakagishi to obtain high stability of undesired movements. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicant's invention, to substitute improved bearings of tapered or semi-spherical shape, biased or unbiased, for the bearings of the acknowledged prior art in order to obtain the desirable feature of improved stabilization of the light quantity adjusting means.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

Response to Arguments

2. In the remarks filed December 30, 2004, applicant appears to have made no arguments concerning the rejection based on acknowledged Prior Art Figs. 6A and 6B in view of Nakagishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,424,068 B2). Thus, the record appears to indicate that applicant is in agreement that the claims are unpatentable based on this grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone Numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William B. Perkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00am-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mo Center

William B. Perkey Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851 Page 4

WBP:wbp